

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
BALTIMORE DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
2001 AUG 31 A 10: 28

UNITED CUTLERY CORPORATION

Plaintiff

v.

NFZ INC., d/b/a TURKEY CREEK
TRADING COMPANY, et. al.

Defendants

CLERK'S OFFICE
AT BALTIMORE
DEPUTY
Civil Action No. MJG 00 CV 3668

**DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR
ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO
RESPOND**

ORDER


Upon review of the Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond,
and Plaintiff's Response to such Motion,

IT IS this 30th day of August, 2001,

ORDERED that the Defendants' Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond is
hereby GRANTED, and that the Defendants ~~have~~ ^{had} until August 29, 2001
to respond to the Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendants' Response to the Plaintiff's
Motion for Summary Judgment is accepted as timely filed;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Court's Judgment in favor of Plaintiff on
August 22, 2001 is VACATED.



Hon. Judge Marvin Garbis

done
08/31/01

